REMARKS

On or about June 14, 2004, Applicant filed a response to the Final Office Action. As the Examiner noted in the Office Communication, the response did not include a terminal disclaimer and did not otherwise address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection made in the Final Office Action. A Terminal Disclaimer is being submitted with the present paper in order to remedy the omission noted by the Examiner.

Applicant and counsel thank the Examiner for noting the omission and granting a one-month period for correcting same.

164.1010.01

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and reasons discussed in the original response to the Final Office

Action, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are patentable. To discuss any matter

pertaining to the instant application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (858)

720-9431.

Having made an effort to bring the application in condition for allowance, a timely notice to

this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 19, 2004

Anatoly S. Weiser

Reg. No. 43,229

The Swernofsky Law Group P.O. Box 390013 Mountain View, CA 94039-0013

(650) 947-0700